

Summary of the first break-out group

“Beyond repositories is not without repositories”

- Repositories are relevant, they still are and will be. They are dedicated (to a subject, an institution, ...)
Content should be defined, though, e.g. to get the boundary with CRIS right. Repositories are about scientific output (which is a broader definition than just publications)
The repository should be plugged in the research environment: it contains relevant information for institutional research for example
- However, the model of repositories should be adapted. It should be more flexible, more object oriented instead of collection oriented. This enhances the possibility to apply Linked open data functionalities, to create enhanced publications, etc. As a consequence reporting can become more efficient and easier
- Definition, entity resolving and ID management become more and more important.
- On top of repositories easy accessible tools should be developed for data and content analysis / mining. This will enrich the content of repositories (e.g. keywords on basis of text analysis). For this repositories should explicitly define the license of their repository
- A study / experiment on the use and influence of user statistics on the basis of user logs of repositories should be interesting
- There are high expectations for FRIS, as the place where definitions are resolved, as the future central portal for reporting. Automatic workflows, based on the underlying repositories: FRIS can offer central services

Basic goal of repositories, no matter which model or system or protocols: it should be easy, it shouldn't be a burden and it should give a lot of service, a return back to the scientific community